IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
CRI.W.P.NO.2765 OF 2005

Vilas Pandurang Rauht ..Petitioner
Vs.
The State of Maharashtra ..Respondent

....

Mr.V.N.Kamble Advocate (appointed) for Petitioner
Smt.U.V.Kejariwal, A.P.P. for State

....

CORAM: D.G.DESHPANDE AND
SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,JJ.
DATE : APRIL 25,2006

ORAL ORDER (PER SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.):

1. Heard Mr.Kamble, the learned advocate for the petitioner and Smt.Kejariwal, the learned A.P.P. for the State. Rule. By consent, rule is made returnable forthwith.

2. The petitioner had preferred application for furlough. The said application for furlough came to be rejected on the ground that there were threats to the lives of the complainant and witnesses if the petitioner is released on furlough. However, no material has been pointed out to us on the basis of which the authorities came to the conclusion that there were threats to the lives of the complainant and witnesses if the

petitioner is released on furlough. However, in order to safeguard the apprehension of the authorities, we are of the opinion that petitioner can be released on furlough by imposing certain conditions on him.

3. The petitioner be released on furlough in accordance with the usual terms and conditions. In addition thereto, the petitioner shall inform the concerned authorities the address at which he will reside during the period that he is on furlough. The petitioner will report twice in a week to the nearest police station to that address during the period that he is on furlough and further the petitioner shall not enter into Kolhapur District i.e. the District in which the complainant and witnesses are residing.

4. Rule made absolute in the above terms. Petition is disposed of.

[D.G.DESHPANDE, J.]

[SMT.V.K.TAHILRAMANI,J.]